

CREDIT OPINION

7 November 2018

 Rate this Research

RATINGS

Bromford Housing Group Limited

Domicile	United Kingdom
Long Term Rating	A1
Type	LT Issuer Rating - Dom Curr
Outlook	Negative

Please see the [ratings section](#) at the end of this report for more information. The ratings and outlook shown reflect information as of the publication date.

Contacts

Edward Demetry +44.20.7772.1720
Analyst
edward.demetry@moodys.com

Matt Fawcett +44.20.7772.5326
Associate Analyst
matt.fawcett@moodys.com

Sebastien Hay +34.91.768.8222
Senior Vice President/Manager
sebastien.hay@moodys.com

CLIENT SERVICES

Americas	1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific	852-3551-3077
Japan	81-3-5408-4100
EMEA	44-20-7772-5454

Bromford Housing Group Limited


Update to credit analysis

Summary

The credit profile of [Bromford Housing Group Limited \(BHG, A1 negative\)](#) reflects our expectation that BHG's credit metrics will decline to be more closely aligned with those of its A2 peers over the next few years because of its declining operating margin and interest coverage ratio, increased debt and a growing share of revenue coming from market sales. BHG benefits from the strong regulatory framework governing English housing associations (HAs) and our assessment that there is a strong likelihood that the [Government of United Kingdom \(Aa2 stable\)](#) would intervene in the event that BHG faces acute liquidity stress.

Exhibit 1

BHG's strong profitability and interest cover are set to decline over the next two years



Note: BHG standalone financials for fiscal 2015-18; the merged entity's board-approved business plan for fiscal 2019-20.
Source: Moody's Investors Service, BHG

Credit strengths

- » Simple group structure, with strong practices and procedures
- » Relatively strong margins and interest cover, although both expected to weaken
- » Strong regulatory framework

Credit challenges

- » Increased debt levels following bond issuance
- » Rising exposure to market sales and substantial growth in planned capital spending
- » Operating environment remains difficult, but policy environment is more stable

Rating outlook

In July 2018, Bromford merged with Merlin Housing Society Limited (Merlin), leading to an enhancement in unencumbered assets and a stronger market position. However, the negative outlook on BHG's rating reflects our expectation that the merged entity's key credit metrics will decline to levels more closely aligned with those of its A2 peers.

Factors that could lead to an upgrade

A combination of the following could have positive rating implications:

- » scaling back the entity's development programme, with a corresponding reduction in market sales units
- » enhancing and maintaining social housing letting interest cover (SHLIC) at 2x or above
- » reducing projected borrowing, leading to a reduction in debt/revenue to 3.5x or below and lowering gearing to 45% or below

Factors that could lead to a downgrade

Negative pressure could be exerted on the rating by one or a combination of the following:

- » scaling up of the development programme and risk appetite
- » increasing debt, resulting in a weakening in debt/assets or debt/revenue, or both
- » reducing unencumbered assets
- » higher-than-expected operating costs arising from merger implementation issues

Key indicators

Exhibit 2

Bromford Housing Group	31-Mar-14	31-Mar-15	31-Mar-16	31-Mar-17	31-Mar-18	31-Mar-19*	31-Mar-20*
Units under management (no.)	28,497	28,753	29,110	29,510	30,096	43,266	44,866
Operating margin, before interest (%)	36.7	39.9	40.5	40.0	33.3	31.2	30.2
Social housing letting interest coverage (x times)	2.1	2.3	2.7	2.4	2.2	1.5	1.7
Cash flow volatility interest coverage (x times)	2.6	2.6	3.3	3.1	2.4	2.0	2.1
Debt to revenues (x times)	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.6	4.3	3.9
Debt to assets at cost (%)	38.4	36.8	35.3	35.2	36.1	43.8	46.3

BHG standalone financials for fiscal 2015-18; the merged entity's board-approved business plan for fiscal 2019-20.

Sources: Moody's Investors Service, BHG

Detailed credit considerations

The credit profile of BHG, as expressed in its A1 negative rating, combines (1) its current Baseline Credit Assessment (BCA) of a2, (2) our expectation that BHG's credit metrics will decline to levels more closely aligned with those of its A2 peers over the next few years, and (3) a strong likelihood of extraordinary support from the UK government in the event that BHG faces acute liquidity stress.

Baseline credit assessment

Simple group structure, with strong practices and procedures

Following the recent merger with Merlin Housing Society (Merlin), BHG's structure has remained fairly simple. BHG is the group parent consisting of a centralised co-terminous board and single management team that controls three asset-owning registered subsidiaries — Bromford Home Ownership Ltd, Bromford Housing Association Ltd and Merlin — as well as Bromford Developments Ltd, a company that develops and constructs homes for the group, and Bromford Assured Homes Ltd, a property investment company. BHG plans to further simplify the group structure, potentially consolidating the registered subsidiaries.

This publication does not announce a credit rating action. For any credit ratings referenced in this publication, please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on www.moody's.com for the most updated credit rating action information and rating history.

BHG maintains strong management practices and well-documented procedures. Management places high importance on the liquidity of its funds. The treasury policy for the fiscal year ended March 2018 (fiscal 2018) stipulates the need to keep on-hand facilities covering 18 months of expected spending, as well as an additional £25 million facility for contingency and sufficient liquidity to cover a 20% slippage in forecast sales income. The policy also stipulates that BHG should maintain an additional £15 million buffer above its net mark-to-market level, down from £40 million in previous years.

BHG is a large provider of social housing in England, with over 30,000 units under management in fiscal 2018. The now-complete merger with Merlin, an HA that operates in the same region as BHG, has increased total units under management by about 9,000 units. The merger will further enhance BHG's market position and will make it one of the largest HAs across the Midlands and the West of England.

As with any merger, there are implementation risks associated with combining two organisations. BHG is currently in the process of consolidating the IT infrastructure of both organisations, through the HA's Programme One initiative, and rolling out new systems that may prove difficult to align. There will also be additional costs in the first few years by way of redundancies, hiring more "Neighbourhood coaches" and treasury costs associated with gaining merger consent. BHG has been prudent in its forecasting and recognises that the merger will not bring net savings until at least 2021. Annual net savings from merger synergies and updated IT infrastructure is expected to be around £3 million from 2021 onwards.

Relatively strong margins and interest cover, although both expected to weaken

BHG's financial performance in fiscal 2018 remained strong relative to that of its rated peers, with its operating margin at 33%. While this operating margin was above the rated-peer median of 31% for the year, it was significantly lower than BHG's previous average of 40%, achieved between fiscal 2015 and fiscal 2017. Similarly, BHG's SHLIC ratio, at 2.2x in fiscal 2018, has been among the highest in our portfolio, but this metric is currently declining — from an average of 2.6x during the two previous fiscal years to a projected 1.5x in fiscal 2019 — placing BHG's SHLIC in line with those of its A2-rated peers. The forecast weakening in BHG's SHLIC in fiscal 2019 will be driven by the HA's current plan to reduce social housing letting (SHL) revenue as a proportion of revenue, coupled with interest costs growing by 59% in the year, as they include Merlin's interest costs and an increase in planned borrowing costs in the year. We note that interest costs are set to grow at a more gradual rate from fiscal 2020, that is in line with growth in SHL revenues, thereby stabilising SHLIC at 1.7x.

Total revenue was £174 million in fiscal 2018, a 4% increase from the previous year. Overall turnover growth has slowed since the introduction of the rent cut regime in fiscal 2016, which has hindered social housing letting revenue growth. As such, BHG's social housing letting revenue grew by a marginal 1% (£145 million) this in fiscal 2018, while overall turnover growth was driven by revenue from first tranche shared ownership (FTSO), which grew by 41% to reach £22 million. Turnover was further buoyed by BHG's strategic decision to reduce involvement in loss-making Supporting People contracts.

In addition to revenue pressures from the rent cut regime, which hurt the wider HA sector, BHG's operating margin was tightened by growing operating costs related to its investment in the "Neighbourhood Coaching programme" and new "Programme One" IT systems. These investments are planned to realise about £13 million in efficiency savings over a 12-year horizon, enhance customer satisfaction and lower voids in the medium term. Additionally, BHG paid £4 million as a one-off cost related to aligning operating models with Merlin, which are projected to deliver efficiencies of around £1 million per year. Collectively, these measures led operating costs to rise by 16% to £116 million in fiscal 2018.

Operating margins are forecast to gradually reduce, before stabilising at approximately 30% from fiscal 2020. Although this margin is lower than historical levels, it remains in line with the A1-peer median of 30% for the year. As such, we expect BHG's operating profitability and interest coverage ratio to remain strong compared with those of its peers, but to weaken from the record highs reported over the last few years. We expect this weakening, although from a strong base, to be driven by the difficult operating environment for BHG's core business, as well as incremental increases in market sales, which have lower margins, and BHG's medium-term investment in efficiency saving initiatives and its "Neighbourhood Coaching" programme - in which the group is investing in its frontline tenant services, and is employing over 100 additional staff to account for the increased housing stock gained following the merger. We note that BHG's investment in efficiency saving initiatives (such as Programme One and other merger synergies) and its

"Neighbourhood Coaching" programme will increase the group's cost base by a total of £4.6 million between fiscal 2018 and fiscal 2021, but should yield annual savings of approximately £3.3 million in real terms, from fiscal 2022.

Strong regulatory framework

The sector's credit quality will continue to benefit from the strong regulatory framework and oversight by the Regulator of Social Housing (RSH). The RSH became an established standalone organisation in October 2018 and will maintain strong oversight through quarterly returns, long-term business plans and annual reviews and by undertaking in-depth assessments of entities where deemed necessary. Additionally, the RSH is empowered to appoint board members and managers in the event of a breach of regulatory standards.

Increased debt levels following bond issuance

BHG's bond issuance of £300 million in May 2018 and its amalgamation with Merlin has led to a weakening in its debt metrics. As of fiscal 2018, BHG's debt stood at £622 million (fiscal 2017: £563 million), translating into debt/revenue of 3.6x. BHG's business plan projects debt to increase to £1,061 million in fiscal 2019, increasing debt/revenue for that year to 4.3x. The merged entity's business plan outlines a continued increase in borrowing over the next four years to fund an extensive development programme, but forecast revenue from new development is expected to partially offset the increase in debt, thereby stabilising debt/revenue at 3.9x from fiscal 2020. The weakening of this metric places BHG in line with its A2-rated peers.

BHG's gearing (debt/assets at cost) increased marginally to 36% in fiscal 2018 from 35% in fiscal 2017; the ratio remains at the low end of the range for our rated portfolio. However, going forward, BHG's gearing metric is set to increase to 44% at fiscal 2019 and 46% at fiscal 2020. The increase in gearing is driven by incorporating Merlin's balance sheet into the group (Merlin's gearing was at 51% at fiscal 2018), as well as the projected debt increase. As planned borrowing increases for the HA, this metric is expected to further weaken gradually.

Refinancing risk remains moderate, with 95% of BHG's outstanding debt due after five years. We consider interest rate risk to be low, as a total of 15% of BHG's debt stock is subject to variable rates, however 8% (percentage points) of variable debt is swapped to fixed rate in BHG's swap portfolio, equating to a total of 93% of the group's debt set at fixed rates. BHG's management continue to take advantage of low values of options and buying out of cancellable swaps, and maintain sufficient security (£37.5 million of security charged against a negative mark-to-market position of £23.8 million as of 31 July 2018) ready to meet margin calls.


Rising exposure to market sales development and substantial growth in capital spending

BHG has historically generated a large share of its revenue from core social housing letting, averaging 85% between fiscal 2015 and fiscal 2017, which was above the A1-rated peer median of 81% during the same period. However, social housing letting as a percentage of revenue is projected to decline for BHG to 76% in fiscal 2019 and 66% in fiscal 2020, as the HA increases its sales programme to cross-subsidise social housing. In fiscal 2018, sales revenue (first tranche of shared ownership and outright sales) constituted 13% of BHG's turnover, up from 10% in the previous year. BHG's current business plan projects this figure will increase to 21% in fiscal 2019 and 32% in fiscal 2020, and continue to increase up to fiscal 2022. In the near term, sales revenue will come almost entirely from first tranche shared ownership (FTSO) sales. However, from fiscal 2021 onwards, most of the revenue will come from outright market sales (Exhibit 3). We expect the potential increase in this revenue stream to add to credit risk, given the susceptibility this type of activity has to market forces and the increased volatility in cash flow compared to revenue from social housing letting. However, following the completion of the merger between BHG and Merlin, the HA has stated it may revisit the tenure mix of its business plan over the next year.

Exhibit 3

Market sales as a proportion of revenue set to continue to increase, driven by outright sales

Market sales as proportion of revenue (%) by source



Source: Moody's Investors Service, BHG

BHG intends to use sales revenue to support its core social rented business, and this is reflected in the tenure mix of the development programme, with 66% of planned development over the next five years committed to social rented properties, 23% to shared ownership and the remaining 11% to open market sale. In relation to the development programme, BHG expects net capital spending to rise significantly, from £60 million in fiscal 2018 to £213 million in fiscal 2019, equivalent to 86% of turnover. The aforementioned lower margins from market sales, coupled with the increase in net capital spending and borrowings of the merged entity, are set to weaken BHG's currently strong financial metrics, which is a key credit concern (see Exhibit 2).

Partially mitigating this exposure to increased net capital spending is the HA's board-approved liquidity policy. Our liquidity coverage metric, which measures BHG's ability to cover its significant increase in net capital spending projected over the next two years with immediately available liquidity, was set at 1.4x in fiscal 2018. As net capital spending rises sharply in the coming years, BHG's liquidity coverage remains slightly above 1.0x in fiscal 2019, providing sufficient coverage over the following two years.

Operating environment remains difficult but policy environment is more stable

We do not expect additional material adverse policy shifts for the sector and consider the operating and policy environment stable in the medium term. Adverse policies announced in the last few years — especially the effects of the 1% annual decrease in social rents (until fiscal 2020) and Universal Credit (a pillar of broader welfare reform measures) — will continue to hurt revenue. However, HAs have demonstrated resilience to adverse policies to date and been proactive in mitigating such impact. A reduction in capital grant for new social housing over the last five years has led to increased exposure to market sales activity, which reached 31% of turnover in fiscal 2017 for the HAs we rate. The credit risk associated with exposure to market sales is incorporated in the BCAs.

Extraordinary support considerations

The strong extraordinary support factored into the rating reflects the wide-ranging powers of redress available to the regulator in the event of financial distress, with the possibility of a facilitated merger or a transfer of engagements. Recent history has shown that the UK government is willing to support the sector, as housing remains a politically and economically sensitive issue. The strong support assumption also factors in (1) the increasing exposure to non-core social housing activities in the sector, which adds complexity to HA operations, and (2) the weakening of the sovereign's financial resilience, making an extraordinary intervention slightly more difficult. In addition, our assessment that there is a very high default dependence between BHG and the UK government reflects their strong financial and operational links.

Rating methodology and scorecard factors

The assigned BCA of a2 is close to the scorecard-suggested BCA of a3.

The methodologies used in this rating are [European Social Housing Providers](#), published April 2018 (Report Number: 1113602) and [Government Related Issuers](#), published in June 2018 (Report Number: 1104983).

Exhibit 4

BHG Baseline Credit Assessment Scorecard

Bromford Housing Group

Baseline Credit Assessment	Sub-factor Weighting	Value	Score
Scorecard			
Factor 1: Institutional Framework			
Operating Environment	10%	a	a
Regulatory Framework	10%	a	a
Factor 2: Market Position			
Units Under Management	10%	30,096	a
Factor 3: Financial Performance			
Operating Margin	5%	33.3%	a
Social Housing Letting Interest Coverage	10%	2.2x	aa
Cash-Flow Volatility Interest Coverage	10%	2.4x	a
Factor 4: Debt and Liquidity			
Debt to Revenue	5%	3.6x	baa
Debt to Assets	10%	36.1%	baa
Liquidity Coverage	10%	1.4x	a
Factor 5: Management and Governance			
Financial Management	10%	baa	baa
Investment and Debt Management	10%	baa	baa
Suggested BCA			a3

Sources: Moody's Investors Service, BHG

Ratings

Exhibit 5

Category	Moody's Rating
BROMFORD HOUSING GROUP LIMITED	
Outlook	Negative
Issuer Rating -Dom Curr	A1

Source: Moody's Investors Service

Moody's Public Sector Europe is the trading name of Moody's Investors Service EMEA Limited, a company incorporated in England with registered number 8922701 that operates as part of the Moody's Investors Service division of the Moody's group of companies.

© 2018 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL, FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER. ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY SUCH RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,500 to approximately \$2,500,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at www.moody's.com under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors. It would be reckless and inappropriate for retail investors to use MOODY'S credit ratings or publications when making an investment decision. If in doubt you should contact your financial or other professional adviser.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for appraisal and rating services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY200,000 to approximately JPY350,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.

CLIENT SERVICES

Americas	1-212-553-1653
Asia Pacific	852-3551-3077
Japan	81-3-5408-4100
EMEA	44-20-7772-5454